

King County Conveyance System Improvements
Kent and Auburn – Final Cost Reduction and Phasing Evaluation

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
June 2001 Final Task 250 Supplement Report	3
Methodology	3
Cost Estimates.....	4
Cost Reduction and Phasing Evaluation.....	4
Methodology	4
Revised Flow Projections	4
Hydraulic Model	9
Kent.....	9
Revised Working Alternatives.....	9
Phasing.....	10
Cost Estimate	10
Auburn	10
Revised Working Alternatives.....	13
Phasing.....	13
Cost Estimate	14
Schedule.....	14
Phase I	14
Phase II.....	14
Summary and Conclusion	17

Appendix A	Hydraulic Profiles With All 2010 and 2020 Revised Working Alternatives
Appendix B	Flow Projections from King County
Appendix C	Surcharge Hydraulic Evaluation Methodology
Appendix D	Tabula Revised Working Alternatives Construction Cost Estimates

TABLES

Table 1.	June 2001 Final Task 250 Supplement Report Working Alternatives.....	3
Table 2.	Revised Working Alternatives – Kent.....	10
Table 3.	Revised Working Alternatives – Auburn.....	14

FIGURES

Figure 1.	June 2001 Final Task 250 Supplement Report Working Alternatives.....	5
Figure 2.	Revised Working Alternatives – Kent and Auburn.....	7
Figure 3.	Revised Working Alternatives – Kent.....	11
Figure 4.	Revised Working Alternatives –Auburn.....	15